

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101

JUN 3 0 2000

Reply To

Attn Of:

OW-130

## CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mark Premo
General Manager
Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility
3000 Arctic Boulevard
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-3898

Re: NPDES Permit No. AK-002255-1 John M. Asplund Water Pollution Control Facility

Dear Mr. Premo:

We are reissuing the above referenced National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The enclosed document authorizes the referenced facility to discharge to the receiving waters indicated in the permit. Also included is the Agency's response to the comments received during the public notice period on the draft permit, along with the Final Decision of the Regional Administrator to issue this permit incorporating a Clean Water Act Section 301(h) variance for this facility.

This permit will become effective on the date indicated in the above referenced permit unless a request for an evidentiary hearing which meets the requirements of 40 CFR 124.74 is received. A copy of these requirements is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,

Randall F. Smith

Director

Office of Water

Enclosures

cc: AK DEC, Southcentral Regional Office

### Z 219 492 498

**US Postal Service** 

## **Receipt for Certified Mail**

No Insurance Coverage Provided.

Do not use for International Mail (See reverse)

	Sept to Prev	nO
	Street & Number	Boulevard
	Post Office, State, & ZIP Cod	Boulevard K 99503-3998
	Postage	\$
	Certified Fee	
	Special Delivery Fee	
	Restricted Delivery Fee	
199	Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered	
April	Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Addressee's Address	
800,	TOTAL Postage & Fees	\$
Form 3800, April 1995	Postmark or Date	

#### COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY **SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION** A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) B. Date of Delivery ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete DS Gordaoff item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse C. Signature so that we can return the card to you. ☐ Agent Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, ☐ Addressee or on the front if space permits. D. Is delivery address different from item 1? If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ Yes 1. Article Addressed to: Mark Premo Anchorage Water & Wastewater Utility 3000 Arctic Boulevard 3. Service Type Anchorage, AK 99503-3998 ☐ Express Mail ☐ Certified Mail ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ Registered ☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes 2. Article Number (Copy from service label)

Domestic Return Receipt

102595-99-M-1789

PS Form 3811, July 1999

Reply To

Attn Of:

OW-130

### CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mark Premo General Manager Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility 3000 Arctic Boulevard Anchorage, Alaska 99503-3898

Re: NPDES Permit No. AK-002255-1

John M. Asplund Water Pollution Control Facility

Dear Mr. Premo:

We are reissuing the above referenced National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The enclosed document authorizes the referenced facility to discharge to the receiving waters indicated in the permit. Also included is the Agency's response to the comments received during the public notice period on the draft permit, along with the Final Decision of the Regional Administrator to issue this permit incorporating a Clean Water Act Section 301(h) variance for this facility.

This permit will become effective on the date indicated in the above referenced permit unless a request for an evidentiary hearing which meets the requirements of 40 CFR 124.74 is received. A copy of these requirements is enclosed for your information.

Sincerely,

Randall F. Smith Director Office of Water

Enclosures

cc: AK DEC, Southcentral Regional Office

CONCURRENCES:

NAME	LIDGARD	LARSEN	LOISELLE	ROBICHAUD
INITIALS	M2	20	fe	M
DATE	3/15/00	3/15	3-16-00	4-11-00

bcc: EPA-R10 NPDES Compliance Unit, OW-133

EPA-R10 Alaska Operations Office, Anchorage



Reply To
Attn Of: OW-131

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101

JUN 2 9 2000

Michele Brown, Commissioner
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
555 Cordova Street
Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Ms. Brown:

Pursuant to Section (§) 303(c) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and implementing regulations found at 40 CFR Part 131, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed the revisions to the Alaska Water Quality Standards (WQS) at 18 AAC 70 that were adopted on March 25, 1999, and submitted to the EPA on April 12 1999. These revisions establish sitespecific metals and turbidity criteria for Upper Cook Inlet in the vicinity of Point Woronzof.

This letter constitutes our formal notification of the results of this review. Based on our authorities under the CWA, cited above, EPA approves the site-specific metals and turbidity criteria for the Point Woronzof area of Upper Cook Inlet because these revisions are consistent with the CWA as well as EPA's policy and guidance on development of site-specific criteria.

The decision document for the adopted revisions presents a well researched technical justification for the site-specific criteria for metals and turbidity in the Upper Cook Inlet area. The site-specific area is clearly defined and the characteristics of the site that warrant development of SSC have been reasonably articulated.

The SSC for metals involve changes to the form of metal (dissolved versus total recoverable) and numeric values. The adopted revisions will implement dissolved metals criteria in the site-specific area. This approach to metals implementation conforms with EPA's metals policy (60 FR 22229). With the exception of mercury, the numeric dissolved metals criteria that have been adopted are consistent with the criteria that EPA recently published in a December 10, 1998, Federal Register Notice of "National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: Notice (63 FR 68354).

Similarly, the SSC for mercury involve changes to the form of the metal and the numeric values of the criteria. The EPA December 10,1998 aquatic life mercury criteria are expressed as dissolved which represents a change in EPA policy for mercury. The SSC for mercury, expressed as dissolved is consistent with this new EPA approach. The marine acute aquatic life criterion for mercury is consistent with EPA's December 10, 1998, FR Notice acute criterion. The proposed marine chronic aquatic life criterion for mercury is consistent with the EPA criteria published in the National Toxics Rule (57 FR 60848).

The proposed revisions to the turbidity criterion are justified based on the high levels of glacial derived suspended sediments delivered by the rivers in the vicinity of the site-specific area. Total suspended solids data in the vicinity of the site demonstrate the need for revisions to the existing turbidity criterion. The Decision Document provides a reasonable justification for the proposed changes to the turbidity criterion.

Alaska remains in the National Toxic Rule (NTR) for aquatic life criteria for nickel (acute), selenium (acute and chronic), and zinc (acute). The NTR prohibits EPA from approving site-specific criteria for these three pollutants until the State has adopted criteria for them and EPA has approved the adopted criteria. Therefore, EPA can not approve the SSC for aquatic life acute nickel, acute and chronic selenium, and acute zinc at this time.

In a second submittal to EPA, the 1999 Triennial Review, Alaska has formally adopted the NTR criteria for the three metals specified above. Before EPA can approve the criteria in the Triennial Review submittal, EPA must complete Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) consultation and initiate Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation. EPA is currently revising the documents for these two consultations. Once EPA has completed EFH consultation and EPA has submitted the ESA document to the Services for their review, we will be able to approve the criteria included in the Triennial Review submittal and approve the three SSC. EPA will then begin the process of removing Alaska from the NTR.

EPA approval of Alaska WQS revisions is considered a Federal action and EPA must comply with the Section 7 consultation requirements of the ESA. EPA determined that the approval of SSC would have no effect on species under the jurisdiction of the Fish and Wildlife Service. On April 4, 2000, EPA submitted to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) a Biological Evaluation that assessed the effects of the SSC on candidate Beluga whales in the site-specific area. In a letter dated June 19, 2000, the NMFS concurred with EPA's determination that approval of SSC for metals and turbidity would not likely adversely affect any threatened or endangered species under NMFS jurisdiction.

Similarly, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) requires federal agencies to consult with NMFS on any actions authorized, funded, or undertaken by the agency that may adversely affect essential fish habitat (EFH) identified by Regional Fishery Management Councils. EPA prepared an evaluation of the effects of the SSC on EFH and determined that approval of the SSC is not likely to have an adverse effect on EFH. Although not required to do so under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, EPA provided its analysis to NMFS on April 4, 2000. In a letter dated June 19, 2000, the NMFS concurred with EPA's determination that approval of SSC for metals and turbidity would not likely adversely affect EFH.

We greatly appreciate the efforts of your staff to coordinate this action with EPA throughout the SSC development process. Please feel free to contact me at (206) 553-1261 or if Standards Coordinator, at (206) 553-1295.

Sincerely,

Randall Smith,

Director

Office of Water

cc:

Deena Henkins Mark Premo

ADEC - Juneau
Anchorage Wastewater

Anchorage Wastewater Utility

	Z 219 898	900
	US Postal Service	×.
	<b>Receipt for Cer</b>	tified Mail
	No Insurance Coverage	Provided.
	Do not use for Internatio	nal Mail (See reverse)
	Sent to, Michele Br	oun
	Street & Number  410 (U) 100 (1)  Post Office, State, & ZIP Coc	by Au #105
	Post Office, State, & ZIP Coo	9480/
	Postage	\$
	Certified Fee	
	Special Delivery Fee	
2	Restricted Delivery Fee	
ii 199	Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered	
, Apr	Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, & Addressee's Address	
800	TOTAL Postage & Fees	\$
PS Form <b>3800</b> , April 1995	Postmark or Date	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul> <li>Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.</li> <li>Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</li> <li>Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</li> </ul>	A. Received by (Please Print Clearly)  C. Signature  X.   Clearly   B. Date of Delivery  C. Signature   Agent   Addressee
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
Ms. Michele Brown Cernmissioner ADEC Central Office	
410 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 1/95 Juneau, AK 99801	3. Service Type  Certified Mail  Registered  Return Receipt for Merchandise  C.O.D.
	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
2. Article Number (Copy from service label)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PS Form 3811, July 1999 Domestic Return Receipt	urn Receipt 102595-99-M-1789